

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 2779.2.1

09/306,077

05/06/99

MONTAGUE

021552 MADSON & METCALF GATEWAY TOWER WEST SUITE 900 15 WEST SOUTH TEMPLE SALT LAKE CITY UT 84101 TM02/0302

TESEAMARIAM M ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

2162 DATE MAILED:

03/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/306,077

Applicant(s)

David R. Montague

Examiner

Mussie Tesfamariam

Group Art Unit 2162



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 28, 2000	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay@35 C.D. 11; 453 O.	s, prosecution as to the merits is closed G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may 37 CFR 1.136(a).	the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO The drawing(s) filed on	approveddisapproved. Solve Signature 11.2(a)).
Attachment(s)	
XI Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)2	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of informal Faterit Application, FTO-152	·
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 09306077 Page 2

Art Unit: 2764

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to because of the reasons set forth on the PTO-948 form enclosed. Correction is required.
- 3. Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect can be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baron et al, 5809481, in view of Tsai et al, 5825292 and Wilf et al, 5899980, Guha et al, 6049797.

Art Unit: 2764

Page 3

As per claim 1, Barton et al, disclose in the label adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. See col 7, lines 11-20, 22-30. However, he fails specifically to disclose in a computer readable medium. Tsai et al disclose in computer readable medium. See the abstract, fig 1, col 1, lines 11-12, 22-26, col 4, lines 11-12, col 9, lines 49-51. Guha et al, 6049797 disclose in a label comprising a computer readable medium. See col 20, lines 55-60. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will have computer readable medium. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have a labeling ability on any kind of computer readable media.

He also fails in a first computer associated with a user and containing a first processor; a second computer associated with a vendor and containing a second processor. Wilf et al disclose in a first computer associated with a user and containing a first processor; a second computer associated with a vendor and containing a second processor. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 4. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will have a first processor; a second computer associated with a vendor and containing a second processor. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have advanced communication between two or more computers.

As per claim 2, Barton et al, disclose in a tag where the machine readable bar-codes or magnetic media codes control data effective to control execution of the first processor. See col 7, lines 11-

Art Unit: 2764

17, col 8, lines 16-26. However, he fails specifically to disclose in a computer readable medium. Tsai et al disclose in computer readable medium. See the abstract, fig 1, col 1, lines 11-12, 22-26, col 4, lines 11-12, col 9, lines 49-51. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will have computer readable medium. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have a labeling ability on any kind of computer readable media.

As per claim 3, Baron et al disclose in the label, adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. See col 7, lines 11-20, 22-30. However, he fails specifically to disclose in communication between the first computer and the second computer. Wilf et al disclose in communication between the first computer and the second computer. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 4. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will have communication between two or more computers. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have advanced communication systems.

As per claim 4, Barton et al, disclose in a tag where the machine readable bar-codes or magnetic media codes control data effective to control execution of the first processor. See col 7, lines 11-17, col 8, lines 16-26. However, he fails specifically to disclose in the first computer to communicate interactively with the second computer. Wilf et al disclose in the first computer to communicate interactively with the second computer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09306077

Art Unit: 2764

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will have communication between two or more computers. This is because it would As per claim 5, Baron et al disclose in the label, adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. See col 7, lines 11-20, 22-30. However, he fails specifically to disclose in authorization for collection of user information by the first computer. Wilf et al disclose in authorization for collection of user information by the first computer. See the abstract, fig 4, fig 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will have authentication for collection information. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have security.

As per claim 6, Baron et al disclose in the label, adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. See col 7, lines 11-20, 22-30. However, he fails specifically to disclose in the control data is programmed to provide authorization for transmission of user information from the first computer to the second computer. Wilf et al disclose in authorization for transmission of user information from the first computer to the second computer. See the abstract, fig 4, fig 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's

Art Unit: 2764

system to such that it will have authentication for collection information. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have security.

Page 6

As per claim 7, Barton et al disclose in the control data is programmed to authorize the transmission of user information at a time corresponding to a second purchase, different from a first purchase associated with distribution of the label. See the abstract, fig 1, col 8, lines 15-21. As per claim 8, Barton et al disclose in executables for execution by the first computer. See the abstract, fig 1, col 8, lines 16-24.

As per claim 9, Baron et al disclose in the label, adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. See col 7, lines 11-20, 22-30. However, he fails specifically to disclose in a server operably connected between the first computer and the second computer. Wilf et al disclose in a server operably connected between the first computer and the second computer. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 4. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will have communication between two or more computers. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have an advanced communication systems.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baron et al, 5809481 in view of Tsai et al, 5825292, Wilf et al, 5899980, and Guha et al, 6049797 as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Kosarew, 5619416.

Art Unit: 2764

As per claim 10, Baron et al disclose in the label, adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. See col 7, lines 11-20, 22-30. However, he fails specifically to disclose in updated vendor data to the first computer. Kosarew discloses in updated vendor data to the first computer. See fig 6, col 5, lines 53-56. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will update the vendor data. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have an accurate data.

7. Claim 11-23, 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baron et al, 5809481 in view of Tsai et al, 5825292, Wilf et al, 5899980, and Guha et al, 6049797.

As per claim 11, Barton et al, disclose a first computer, associated with a purchaser of the product, and a label for labeling a product offered sale. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 2, col 8, lines 16-26. He also discloses in the label, adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. See col 7, lines 11-20, 22-30. However, he fails specifically to disclose in a computer readable medium. Tsai et al disclose in computer readable medium. See the abstract, fig 1, col 1, lines 11-12, 22-26, col 4, lines 11-12, col 9, lines 49-51. Guha et al, 6049797 disclose in a label comprising a computer readable medium. See col 20, lines 55-60. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

Art Unit: 2764

Application/Control Number: 09306077

in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will have computer readable medium. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have a labeling ability on any kind of computer readable media.

He also fails to disclose in linking data for controlling communication of the first computer with a second computer associated with the vendor. Wilf et al disclose in linking data for controlling communication of the first computer with a second computer associated with the vendor. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 4, fig 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will link data for controlling communication of the first computer with a second computer associated with the vendor. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have an advanced communication systems.

As per claim 12, Barton et al disclose in entertainment data for engaging interest of a purchaser. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 2, fig 3.

As per claim 13, Barton et al disclose in product data; and binding data for binding the product purchase data to the profile data generated with the profiling data. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 5, col 8, lines 51-55, col 10, lines 37-39.

As per claim 14, Baron et al disclose in the label, adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. See col 7, lines 11-20, 22-30. However, he fails specifically to disclose in authorizing data effective to control the

Application/Control Number: 09306077 Page 9

Art Unit: 2764

first computer to determine authorization of a purchaser for returning the binding data to the second computer. Wilf et al disclose in authorizing data effective to control the first computer to determine authorization of a purchaser for returning the binding data to the second computer. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 4, fig 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will authorize data. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have authentication on any purchased data.

As per claim 15, Barton et al, disclose in the label adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. See col 7, lines 11-20, 22-30. However, he fails specifically to disclose in controlling data for controlling the first computer to determine authorization purchaser for communication to the second computer of user profile data generated by the first computer, at a second purchase time corresponding to a second purchase and different from a first purchase time associated with receipt of the label by the purchaser. Wilf et al disclose in controlling data for controlling the first computer to determine authorization purchaser for communication to the second computer of user profile data generated by the first computer, at a second purchase time corresponding to a second purchase and different from a first purchase time associated with receipt of the label by the purchaser. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 4, fig 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Page 10

Art Unit: 2764

time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will authorize data. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have authentication on any purchased data.

As per claim 16, Barton et al discloses in providing vendor data corresponding to a source of products and directed of the products; providing a label for securing to products to designate a source of products; securing the label to a product; and distributing the label and product to a purchaser. See the abstract, col 4, lines 22-26, col 6, lines 16-19, col 7, lines 17-20. However, he fails specifically to disclose in a computer readable medium. Tsai et al disclose in computer readable medium. See the abstract, fig 1, col 1, lines 11-12, 22-26, col 4, lines 11-12, col 9, lines 49-51. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will have computer readable medium. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have a labeling ability on any kind of computer readable media.

As per claim 17, Barton et al discloses in label associated with the purchaser. See the abstract, fig 1, col 4, lines 22-29, col 6, lines 17-19. However, he fails specifically to disclose in the user computer comprising a processor effective to execute executables. Wilf et al disclose in the user computer comprising a processor effective to execute executables. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 4, fig 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will use a processor to execute executables. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have the ability of executing associated programs.

Application/Control Number: 09306077 Page 11

Art Unit: 2764

As per claim 18, Barton et al discloses in label associated with the purchaser. See the abstract, fig 1, col 4, lines 22-29, col 6, lines 17-19. However, he fails specifically to disclose in controlling execution of an executable in the processor by the vendor data. Wilf et al disclose in controlling execution of an executable in the processor by the vendor data. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 4, fig 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will use a processor to execute executables. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have the ability of executing associated programs.

As per claim 19, Barton et al discloses in label associated with the purchaser. See the abstract, fig 1, col 4, lines 22-29, col 6, lines 17-19. However, he fails specifically to disclose in providing the executable as at least a portion of the vendor data. Wilf et al disclose in providing the executable as at least a portion of the vendor data. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 4, fig 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will use a processor to execute executables. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have the ability of executing associated data.

As per claim 20, Barton et al disclose in linking the user computer to a vendor computer associated with the source of products. See the abstract, fig 1.

As per claim 21, Barton et al disclose in establishing interactive communication between advertiser and consumer in accordance with data the information storage. See the abstract, fig 1. However, he fails specifically to disclose in establishing interactive communication between the

Art Unit: 2764

user computer and the vendor computer, in accordance with communication data within the vendor data. Wilf et al disclose in establishing interactive communication between the user computer and the vendor computer, in accordance with communication data within the vendor data. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 4, fig 5, col 7, lines 11-18, 37-42. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will use interactive communication between the user computer and the vendor computer, in accordance with communication data within the vendor data. This is

because it would improve Baron's system to have established communication.

As per claim 22, Baron et al disclose in the label, adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. See col 7, lines 11-20, 22-30. However, he fails specifically to disclose in providing user authorization data from the purchaser for controlling the interactive communication. Wilf et al disclose in providing user authorization data from the purchaser for controlling the interactive communication. See the abstract, fig 4, fig 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will have authentication for collection information. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have security. As per claim 23, Barton et al disclose in linking the user computer to a vendor computer associated with the source of products. See the abstract, fig 1. However, he fails specifically to disclose in the linking between the user computer and the vendor computer by executables

Page 12

Art Unit: 2764

adapted to provide an interface between user applications running in the user computer and vendor applications running on the vendor computer. Wilf et al disclose in the linking between the user computer and the vendor computer by executables adapted to provide an interface between user applications running in the user computer and vendor applications running on the vendor computer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will link between the user computer and the vendor computer by executables adapted to provide an interface between user applications running in the user computer and vendor applications running on the vendor computer. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have linking ability. As per claim 26, Barton et al discloses in providing vendor data corresponding to a source of products and directed of the products; providing a label for securing to products to designate a source of products; securing the label to a product; and distributing the label and product to a purchaser. See the abstract, col 4, lines 22-26, col 6, lines 16-19, col 7, lines 17-20. However, he fails specifically to disclose in a computer readable medium. Tsai et al disclose in computer readable medium. See the abstract, fig 1, col 1, lines 11-12, 22-26, col 4, lines 11-12, col 9, lines 49-51. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will have computer readable medium. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have a labeling ability on any kind of computer readable media.

Page 13

Application/Control Number: 09306077 Page 14

Art Unit: 2764

As per claim 27, Barton et al, discloses in the label adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. See col 7, lines 11-20, 22-30. However, he fails specifically to disclose in controlling by the purchaser the selection and communication of the user data to the vendor computer. Wilf et al, disclose in controlling by the purchaser the selection and communication of the user data to the vendor computer. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 4, fig 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will control the purchaser the selection and communication of the user data to the vendor computer. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have controlling ability.

As per claim 28, Barton et al discloses in label associated with the purchaser. See the abstract, fig 1, col 4, lines 22-29, col 6, lines 17-19. However, he fails specifically to disclose in controlling the communication of the user data proximate a time corresponding to a second purchase different from a first purchase corresponding to distributing the label. Wilf et al disclose in controlling the communication of the user data proximate a time corresponding to a second purchase different from a first purchase corresponding to distributing the label. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 4, fig 5.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will control the purchaser the selection and communication of the user data to the vendor computer. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have controlling ability.

8. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baron et al, 5809481 in view of Tsai et al, 5825292, Wilf et al, 5899980, and Guha et al, 6049797 as applied to claim 22 above, and further in view of Kosarew, 5619416.

As per claim 24, Baron et al disclose in the label, adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. See col 7, lines 11-20, 22-30. However, he fails specifically to disclose in updated vendor data in the user computer from the vendor computer. Kosarew discloses in updated vendor data user computer from the vendor computer. See fig 6, col 5, lines 53-56. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's system to such that it will update the vendor data. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have an accurate data.

9. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baron et al, 5809481 in view of Tsai et al, 5825292, Wilf et al, 5899980, and Guha et al, 6049797 as applied to claim 24 above, and further in view of Christensen et al, 5710886.

As per claim 25, Baron et al disclose in selecting the user application from the group consisting of a game, player, utility and an index. See col 1, lines 53-57, fig 5. However, he fails specifically to disclose in using browser, viewer application. Christensen et al disclose in using browser, viewer application. See col 7, lines 50-56 col 8, lines 55-67. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baron's

Page 16

Art Unit: 2764

system to such that it will use browser, and viewer application. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have browsing ability.

Art Unit: 2764

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mussie Tesfamariam whose telephone number is (703)305-1393. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Trammell can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703)308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703)308-5357, (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal park II, 2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington, Virginia, (Receptionist).

Mussie Tesfamariam

February 24, 2001

JAMES TRAMM SUPERVISORY PATENT

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Art Unit: 2764

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 18

- 10. Claims 1-28 are allowed.
- 11. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The Prior art of record Baron et al, 5809481 disclose in the label adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. The Prior art of record, Tsai et al 5825292 disclose in computer readable medium. However, Baron et al, 5809481 and Tsai et al, 5825292 considered as a whole fail to disclose or suggest claim 1 in a label comprising a computer readable medium and adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and the label, further provided with vendor data provided by the vendor and computer readable instructions executable on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user.
- 12. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The Prior art of record Baron et al, 5809481 disclose in the label adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. The Prior art of record, Tsai et al 5825292 disclose in computer readable medium. However, Baron et al, 5809481 and Tsai et al, 5825292 considered as a whole fail to disclose or suggest claim 11 in a vendor data associated with a source of a product comprising instructions executable by the first computer to present to

<u>ک</u> ک

Art Unit: 2764

the purchaser a presentation provided from the vendor; profiling data comprising instructions executable by the first computer to obtain information corresponding to the purchaser and relating to the vendor; and linking data comprising instructions executable to control communication of the first computer with a second computer associated with the vendor.

- 13. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The Prior art of record Baron et al, 5809481 disclose in the label adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. The Prior art of record, Tsai et al 5825292 disclose in computer readable medium. However, Baron et al, 5809481 and Tsai et al, 5825292 considered as a whole fail to disclose or suggest claim 16 in providing vendor data corresponding to a source of products and directed to purchasers of the products; recording the vendor data on a computer readable medium.
- 14. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The Prior art of record Baron et al, 5809481 disclose in the label adapted to be selectively secured to and removed from a product corresponding to the vendor and purchased by the user; and effective to execute on the first computer for presenting vendor information to the user. The Prior art of record, Tsai et al 5825292 disclose in computer readable medium. However, Baron et al, 5809481 and Tsai et al, 5825292 considered as a whole fail to disclose or suggest claim 26 in providing vendor data associated with a vendor; and comprising executable instructions executable on a computer associated with a purchaser; recording the vendor data on a label comprising a computer-

Page 20

Art Unit: 2764

readable medium; reading the computer readable medium into a user computer associated with purchaser; establishing communication between the user computer and the vendor computer; and interactively updating user data on the vendor computer and vendor data on the user computer.

15. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

A. EP91103705, discloses in a method of and arrangement for processing point-of-sale products include printing a label containing information such as weight and price obtained from the data

Art Unit: 2764

base of a host computer on a real-time basis. The label is applied to a product electro-optically identified by a scanner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mussie Tesfamariam** whose telephone number is (703)305-1393. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the **examiner's supervisor**, **Jim Trammell** can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703)308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703)308-5357, (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal park II, 2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington, Virginia, (Receptionist).

Mussie Tesfamariam

May 30, 2001